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Today’s Outline

• Disparities in Breast cancer outcomes
  • When and why?
• The need for population science: understanding place
• The ‘ZNA’: the intersection of race and place
• The emergence of racism in cancer equity research
• New initiatives in population science
Disparities in breast cancer outcomes: When and why?
Race disparities in cancer mortality

Top sites among women

1. Stomach $\text{RR}=2.31$
2. Myeloma $\text{RR}=2.24$
3. Endometrial $\text{RR}=1.97$
4. Cervical $\text{RR}=1.65$
5. Breast $\text{RR}=1.41$
6. Liver $\text{RR}=1.35$
7. Colorectal $\text{RR}=1.31$
8. Pancreas $\text{RR}=1.28$
9. Esophageal $\text{RR}=1.04$
10. Bladder $\text{RR}=1.04$

**All Sites** $\text{RR}=1.12$

- 48% of the excess cancer deaths among Black women are due to breast cancer
- Eliminating this disparity would yield significant progress towards cancer health equity among women

Table 6. Comparison of Cancer Death Rates between Black and White People, US, 2015-2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Black Rate*</th>
<th>White Rate*</th>
<th>Absolute Difference$^1$</th>
<th>Rate Ratio$^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myeloma</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine corpus</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uterine cervix</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breast</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver &amp; intrahepatic bile duct</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon &amp; rectum</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary bladder</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney &amp; renal pelvis</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukemia</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovary</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung &amp; bronchus</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Hodgkin lymphoma</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain &amp; other nervous system</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma of the skin</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**All sites** $152.1$ $135.4$ $16.7$ $1.12$

Red text = higher deaths among Black women despite lower incidence

Cancer Facts and Figures for African Americans 2022-2024
The disparity emerged in the 1980s due to major advances in early detection and treatment which only benefited some women and with certain types of breast cancer.

Declining overall but the disparity maintained over three decades.
Unequal access to medical advances

Mammography (Incidence)

• Black and White women have had a similar prevalence of mammography

• 57% of Black women diagnosed with localized disease compared to 68% of White women... Why
  • Mis-reporting?
  • Lower quality facilities
  • Delays in follow-up
  • Diagnosed with tumors that are less likely to be detected with a mammogram
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Place, Race, or RACISM?
The need for population science: understanding *place*
Epidemiology and health equity research

• Population science is essential to health equity research:
  • Race can’t be randomized
  • Place can’t be randomized
  • Many exposures of interest (e.g. racism) can’t be randomized
• Time is a limiting factor
  • Disparities across the cancer continuum
  • Long-term follow up (i.e., Breast Cancer has > 90% 5-year survival rate)
• Studies at the point of intervention (e.g., county/census tract) may yield interventions that are more readily amenable to federal, state, and local policy change
  • Long-term sustainability
Surveillance data to examine these factors in Georgia

GA Cancer Registry (SEER Program; Director Kevin Ward)
- Patient and tumor characteristics
- Treatment characteristics
  - Surgical characteristics, adjuvant therapy
  - Treatment facilities
- Oncotype Dx score
- Census-tract of residence at diagnosis → linkages to ACS and other geospatial data

Breast Cancer Investigation of Disparities in Georgia (PI McCullough)

The BRIDGE Cohort includes ~62,000 women diagnosed with a first-primary breast cancer in GA between 2010-2017 and identified in the Georgia Cancer Registry
Mapping disparities across Georgia

• **GOAL:** to understand the landscape of breast cancer disparities in Georgia
  - Identify hot-spots and bright-spots (transportable?)
  - Understand how much area-level characteristics drive outcomes
  - Inform meaningful comparison groups for further interrogation

• **CHALLENGES:**
  - Local differences based on location of diagnosis NOT death (interventions)
  - Sparse data → Bayesian spatial modeling framework (smoothing)
  - Incorporating area-level data into models
Multivariate ‘smoothed’ disparity (unadjusted)

Relative disparity

Absolute disparity

Interaction Contrast

Nash et al. IN PREPARATION (DO NOT POST)
# Effect of area level covariates on race-specific breast cancer mortality

Nash et al. IN PREPARATION (DO NOT POST)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area-level Characteristic</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
<th>Model 4</th>
<th>Model 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent of adults with ≤ high school (HS) education</td>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>1.08 (1.04, 1.13)</td>
<td>1.10 (1.04, 1.17)</td>
<td>1.11 (1.04, 1.17)</td>
<td>1.09 (1.02, 1.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>1.03 (0.97, 1.10)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.91, 1.08)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.91, 1.08)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.91, 1.08)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.94, 1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rurality (rural vs not rural)</td>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>0.92 (0.80, 1.07)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.81, 1.08)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.79, 1.06)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.75, 1.05)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>1.16 (0.94, 1.45)</td>
<td>1.16 (0.91, 1.45)</td>
<td>1.21 (0.98, 1.56)</td>
<td>1.28 (1.00, 1.64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Black</td>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>1.02 (0.99, 1.06)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.99, 1.08)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.99, 1.08)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>1.01 (0.97, 1.06)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.94, 1.05)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.95, 1.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of owner-occupied housing units</td>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>1.03 (0.96, 1.12)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.95, 1.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>0.95 (0.85, 1.04)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.76, 1.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent with income below poverty level (in the last 12 months)</td>
<td>NHW</td>
<td>1.07 (0.89, 1.29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NHB</td>
<td>0.82 (0.65, 1.03)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Expressed as effect for a 10-point change in the percent
NHW=non-Hispanic White
NHB=non-Hispanic Black

### Median (95% credible interval)
Multivariate “smoothed” disparity (adjusted)

Unadjusted Model

Model 2 (+edu, rurality)

*Note: breaks are the same but min and max vary
Mapping disparities across Georgia

• **TAKE HOME MESSAGES:**
  
  • There is substantial geographic heterogeneity in breast cancer mortality disparities throughout the state of Georgia
    • Previously masked by using place of death and approaches that do not account for sparse data
  
  • Accounting for rurality only magnifies the relative disparity, while equalizing the proportion of owner-occupied homes decreased the disparity.
  
  • A more complete understanding of the effect of rurality, housing, and other neighborhood characteristics on the race disparity requires more granular data.
The ‘ZNA’: the intersection of race and place
Race: Neighborhood deprivation and breast cancer mortality

• **BACKGROUND:** Living in deprived low SES neighborhoods may be associated with increase breast cancer mortality
  • Multiple neighborhood deprivation composite measures used across studies have yielded different results
  • Stronger association in White vs. Black women
  • No study has interrogated the reasons for weak associations among Black women

• **GOAL:** To assess the association between neighborhood deprivation (using multiple indices) and breast cancer mortality by race; to assess interactions with urban/rural status, racial composition*, and residential mobility **
A shift in thinking...

**TAKE HOME MESSAGES:**

- Among all women, neighborhood deprivation is associated with breast cancer mortality (across indices)
  - Neighborhood-level economic factors (poverty, household income, education) appear to drive the neighborhood deprivation-breast cancer mortality association
- Neighborhood deprivation associates with breast cancer mortality **ONLY** among White women
  - Especially those living in rural neighborhoods (less access) with greater mobility (less social cohesion) and a greater % of Black residents (residential segregation)
- No association among NHB women
  - Rurality, mobility, and neighborhood racial composition do not explain the null association
  - **Social drivers related to BC mortality are more limited among White women → BIGGER effect**
    - Among Black women there are multiple social drivers (*i.e.*, a piling on of causal effects)

Barber et al. IN PREPRATION (DO NOT POST)
The emergence of *racism* in cancer equity research
Disparity to Justice

**Equality**

The assumption is that everyone benefits from the same supports. This is equal treatment.

**Equity**

Everyone gets the supports they need (this is the concept of “affirmative action”), thus producing equity.

**Justice**

All 3 can see the game without supports or accommodations because the cause(s) of the inequity was addressed. The systemic barrier has been removed.

The **barrier** is **racism**

Ingham County, Michigan Health Department

The many definitions of racism?

- **Cultural racism**, reflects the ideologies and societal norms about a particular race group
- **Discrimination**, an action that stems from racist beliefs
- **Systemic racism**, a descriptive term about racialized systems of power
- **Institutional racism**, racism within a particular type of institution (e.g., housing or criminal justice)

Structural racism represents the totality of ways in which *multiple systems and institutions interact* to assert *racist policies, practices, and beliefs* about people in a racialized group.
Historical Redlining

- In 1933, US Congress created the Home Owners’ Loan Corporation (HOLC) as part of the New Deal.
- Between 1935 and 1940, the HOLC created “Residential Security” maps for 200+ urban areas.
- Informed by local real estate professionals, they ranked the perceived risk of investing in particular neighborhoods using a color-coded scale:

  A: “Best”
  B: “Still desirable”
  C: “Definitely declining”
  D: “Hazardous”
...Beyond housing

In addition to reduced real estate investments, persons living in redlined areas experienced increased exposure to environmental hazards and denial of goods and services.

- Poorer air quality
- Increased intra-urban heat
- A lack of greenspace
- Food deserts/swamps

Redlining and breast cancer mortality

• 2016 (Beyer et al., Milwaukee) Redlining index was associated with a lower hazard among all women (HR=0.76; 95% CI=0.59, 0.98)

• 2021 (Collin CJ et al., Atlanta) Redlining index was associated with higher hazard among all women (HR=1.13; 95% CI=1.08, 1.17)
  - White: HR=1.23; 95% CI=1.08, 1.41
  - Black: HR=1.03; 95% CI=0.97, 1.08

• 2021 (Beyer et al., SEER Medicare) Redlining index was associated with higher hazard among all women (HR =1.20; 95% CI=1.05, 1.37)

• 2022 (Plascak et al., New Jersey) Redlining category best vs. hazardous*
  - White: HR=0.48; 95% CI=0.35, 0.65
  - Black: HR=0.78; 95% CI=0.53, 1.15
New initiatives in population science
Refining our thinking...

• One ‘institution’ or domain of structural racism is likely insufficient to capture the multidimensional and interacting elements of racism
  • Housing, economics, employment, education, criminal justice, voting, etc.
• Black women are taking multiple hits and all of these factors, likely contribute to disparities
• Currently, there no contemporary large-scale cohorts that comprehensively assess multiple measures of racism and how it impacts the development of aggressive tumor types and cancer-specific mortality
ACS cohort of **100,000** Black Women

- **Primary cohort (Pilot 2023):** Cancer-free Black women (85,000)
  - Age 25-55 years
  - 20 states with highest incidence of cancers among Black women (GA is a pilot state)
  - Recruitment model to capture women of diverse socioeconomic backgrounds

- **Sub-cohort (Pilot 2024):** Black women newly diagnosed with cancer (15,000)
  - Up to age 65 years
  - Black women newly diagnosed with breast, endometrial, or colon cancer
  - Collectively responsible for ~95% of all excess deaths among Black women
  - Get to outcomes-related answers faster!
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